| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/763,526 | COPELAND ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JOSEPH D. WONG | 2166 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *JOSEPH D. WONG*.

(2) *COLBY NUTTALL*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *18 September 2008*

**Time:** *8 PM*

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*35 USC 102, 103*

Claims discussed:
*12, 13, 42*

Prior art documents discussed:
*Ganesh*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*Suggested potential amendments to instant claim 42 that if amended could render claim 42 allowable under present evaluation. Client was consulted later and cClient requested to see final rejection first. No agreement was reached.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/J. D. W./
Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)